ER- 582

MAGEMENT THE

pared Testimony of U.S. Representative Jerrold Nadler on Rail Consolidations
Before the Surface Transportation Board
March 8, 2000

Members of the Board, I appreciate the opportunity to share my views with you regarding future rail consolidations. As some of you may know, I have cared passionately about rail freight for over 20 years and I have been expounding on it at every available opportunity since. As a Representative of parts of Manhattan and Brooklyn, and before that as a State Assemblyman representing part of Manhattan, I have witnessed the havoc caused by a total dependence on moving goods by truck. In the U.S. as a whole, 40 percent of inter-city freight goes by rail. In the area that encompasses the city of New York, Nassau and Suffolk Counties on Long Island, Westchester County, Putnam County and the entire state of Connecticut it is not 40%, but 2.8% by rail. Everything comes in and out by truck. It poisons our air, tears up our roads and our bridges. It wreaks havoc on infrastructure and our water mains. It adds a dime to the cost of every grapefruit, and it rids our blue-collar, manufacturing economy of hundreds and hundreds of thousands of jobs.

In addition to my general interest in rail freight, I have been an engaged witness and participant to recent merger proceedings. During the division of Conrail, I led congressional efforts to petition this Board to mandate competitive rail service by CSX and Norfolk Southern to New York City, Long Island, Westchester, and Connecticut. As is evident, I am extremely concerned with the effects of rail consolidations on our nation's rail system.

It is somewhat difficult to comment on the proposed merger of Burlington Northern

Santa Fe with the Canadian National System. This application is not yet complete and there is

very little information available about its final form or theoretical effect. However, we can

comment on the need to review this application and any future merger application with great care. The goal of the Surface Transportation Board, after all, is to assure the nation has a transportation system, which is adequate to serve it in good times, bad times, and in times of national emergency.

By any rational measure, the national interest has not been served by the last series of mergers. Now we are confronted with the largest merger application ever while the nation is still sorting out the results of the last two major transactions – the division of Conrail between Norfolk Southern and CSX and the merger of Union Pacific and Southern Pacific. Now is the time to step back and undertake a study of the impact of rail consolidations before any new applications are reviewed. We must ascertain the adequacy of the rail freight system, what is necessary to make it ready for the 21st century, and whether further consolidation advances or hinders this objective.

The last several mergers have failed to achieve improvement in service to shippers. They have failed to make the industry more financially secure. Far from assuring the nation of adequate transportation, these mergers have, in fact, seriously weakened the financial strength of the entire rail industry. Approval was granted despite clear signs that the financial aspects of the transactions were suspect. Bidding wars for merger candidates drove prices far higher than the value of the assets acquired. The surviving railroads subjected themselves to crushing debt burden and the benefits of the transactions to the public and to the industry were grossly overstated.

The financial consequences of any future consolidation must be carefully reviewed. In their present condition, the railroads would be severely impacted by any economic downturn. A financially weak railway industry will not be able to adequately maintain, let alone modernize, its physical plant. We must remember that private railway owners are the custodians of a major national asset and they must be required to fulfill their national obligation to keep it ready for any contingency. They will not be able to do so if they are allowed to squander their assets on acquisitions that make no economic sense.

We must also remember that current railway management learned the trade during the period when the overbuilding of the pre-World War II period was being corrected by massive abandonments of surplus lines and tracks. After enactment of the Staggers Act, the loss of railway mileage became a hemorrhage, reducing the capacity of the national railway system below prudent levels. The evidence before us indicates that the physical plan of the railway system has been trimmed to levels that deny it the ability to handle any significant increase in traffic. Redundancy has been largely lost, making the system vulnerable to major disruption due to natural or man-made disasters.

The railroads have attempted to make up for lost track capacity by introducing larger cars and heavier weights – innovations that have greatly increased the freight handled by each train.

But these innovations, while increasing throughtput, have sacrificed the quality of the service possible. Large capacity cars favor large shippers who can use these larger shipments. Smaller shippers are no longer of much interest to the industry. The creation of a rail system increasingly useful only to the largest shippers is not in the national interest.

Where lines have not been eliminated entirely, they have often been single tracked. This creates the inherent inability of the industry to compete for high priority freight. Where trains move in two directions on a well-used single track, they can not move quickly. Thus, industry downsizing, a major by-product of recent consolidations, has significantly and negatively impacted the industry's ability to serve the needs of the 21st Century economy. Excessive downsizing has sabotaged the national goal of maintaining a comprehensive national rail freight service, the statutory mandate of this Board. The Board should look at this possibility of requiring the restoration of track capacity where it is found to be inadequate to move freight in a manner consistent with national needs.

Almost every major recent merger resulted in a meltdown of service for months following the merger. Operating plans submitted as parts of merger applications turned out to be works of fiction. Shipments disappeared, trains vanished, and locomotive fleets and crews which were fully adequate to serve the pre-merger applicants' customers seemed to disappear overnight when merged operations began and pre-merger service levels could not be maintained. Ports served principally by merged railroads lost traffic to ports served by their competitors. Grain has rotted on the plains. Losses were in the billions. Rail system meltdown is not in the national interest, and all protestations that each new merger will not cause such disruptions because the new applicant's management has supposedly learned the lessons of the previous merger induced traumas, have uniformly proven incorrect. Norfolk Southern and CSX protested in all premerger discussions that they had learned from the Union Pacific disaster and would avoid the

worst of those service disruptions. Nonetheless, any newspaper reader is aware of the major disruptions that occurred after the Conrail acquisition.

If the prospect of such service disruptions after consolidations is not dispositive of the wisdom of any future application, the disruption should be considered a national cost of the transaction. Such a cost should be imposed upon the nation only when it is clearly offset by some great national benefit. It is not yet clear that the benefits of the recent mergers have exceeded the cost of the attendant disruption. It appears that the rail industry is merging just to merge.

The current merger proposal comes before the system has adjusted to the last two. There is considerable evidence now before us that these mergers have all failed to yield any positive results. We emerge from the last round of mergers with a financially unstable and physically emaciated national transportation network. Its condition should be a matter of national concern.

The financial performance of merged railroads is weak. I attach to this statement the charted performance of the stock of the most recently merged railroads – BNSF, UP, NS and CSX. The stock of each railroad had been selling at a respectable price. Indeed, Norfolk Southern had split three-for-one shortly before the division of Conrail, yet each merger produced a large reduction in stock prices. Not only did the stock of each railroad lose significant market value post-merger, but the stocks were also adversely affected by subsequent Class I mergers. The recent Conrail acquisition seems to have caused all Class I carriers to lose a significant portion of their shareholders' equity. These losses have all occurred during a bull market. When

shareholders abandon an industry en-mass, as is demonstrated by these plunging stock prices, Wall Street is telling us that these mergers were counterproductive.

Quite clearly, members of the Board, the mergers of recent years have failed the shareholders and the public. The promises of a more efficient rail system have not been fulfilled and grave doubt exists as to whether these promises were ever realistic. The debt accumulated by the industry in acquisitions is monumental and jeopardizes the ability of the industry to make adequate investments, restore and improve services, and perhaps even to survive. Clearly a single track railway installed on a 19th Century road bed can not be expected to provide services adequate to serve a 21st Century economy.

Within a few years, we may have but two Class I railways in this nation. Such an eventuality would be very troubling. I strongly suggest that placing two giants in possession of the national railway system is unlikely to be in the national interest. Innovation rarely springs from monopoly. Before we consider any further Class I mergers, we should determine whether they serve any national purpose. A study into the effects of past and proposed future rail consolidations must be undertaken. Until such a study is completed, there should be a moratorium on any future consolidation applications. These are necessary steps to guarantee the physical and economic health and longevity of the nation's rail system.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Page 1 February 25, 2000

Issue: ?csx CSX

12640810 CSX

CSX CORP New York Common

Monthly adjusted prices 1/03/94 to 2/24/00 Prices in currency as reported by exchange

Month Ending	Month's Volume	Month's High	Month's Low	Month's Close
1/31/94	10597000	46 USD	39 15/16 USD	45 7/8 USD
2/28/94	7825800	46 3/16 USD	43 3/8 USD	44 USD
3/31/94	9624200	45 3/16 USD	40 5/16 USD	41 USD
3/31/34	3024200	£3 5/10 000	20 0, 20	
4/29/94	7280000	41 5/8 USD	37 9/16 USD	38 15/16 USD
5/31/94	9967800	38 13/16 USD	35 1/2 USD	38 1/4 USD
6/30/94	8279200	40 3/8 USD	37 1/4 USD	37 3/4 USD
			36 1/2 USD	39 13/16 USD
7/29/94	6161000	38 13/16 USD	36 1/4 USD	38 5/8 USD
8/31/94	8360400	39 9/16 USD		34 1/4 USD
9/30/94	17419600	38 5/8 USD	33 USD	34 1/4 000
10/31/94	15417800	37 1/4 USD	32 13/16 USD	36 1/4 USD
11/30/94	9148200	36 1/4 USD	32 1/2 USD	34 3/4 USD
12/30/94	8249600	35 1/4 USD	31 9/16 USD	34 13/16 USD
	·	00 7 / G TEED	24 11/16 HED	35 13/16 USD
1/31/95	11739600	38 1/8 USD	34 11/16 USD 34 15/16 USD	38 7/8 USD
2/28/95	11065400	38 7/8 USD 39 7/8 USD	36 3/16 USD	39 3/8 USD
3/31/95	10779800	39 7/8 USD	30 3/10 050	33 37 4 32
4/28/95	9465800	41 USD	39 1/16 USD	39 7/8 USD
5/31/95	12856200	40 1/4 USD	36 5/16 USD	38 1/8 USD
6/30/95	13170200	38 5/16 USD	36 USD	37 9/16 USD
G , 22, 23				
7/31/95	11362600	43 5/8 USD	37 7/16 USD	41 15/16 USD
8/31/95	5371400	42 7/8 USD	40 3/8 USD	41 1/4 USD
9/29/95	7364400	44 5/8 USD	41 1/4 USD	42 1/16 USD
20/77/05	12113600	42 9/16 USD	39 1/16 USD	41 7/8 USD
10/31/95	6632200	44 5/8 USD	40 15/16 USD	43 13/16 USD
11/30/95 12/29/95	7033000	46 1/8 USD	42 15/16 USD	45 5/8 USD
TZ/ Z3/ 33	7033000	40 1,0 002	10 14, 15	
1/31/96	6276900	46 3/4 USD	42 1/4 USD	46 3/8 USD
2/29/96	6472400	48 1/2 USD	44 7/8 USD	44 7/8 USD
3/29/96	8082000	47 7/8 USD	43 3/4 USD	45 5/8 USD
1/20/05	8105500	52 1/2 USD	44 1/8 USD	51 1/4 USD
4/30/96	9323800	53 1/8 USD	48 7/8 USD	49 1/2 USD
5/31/96	5008500	50 1/2 USD	47 USD	48 1/4 USD
6/28/96	3000300	30 1/2 000		
7/31/96	6735600	49 1/8 USD	42 1/4 USD	48 1/4 USD
8/30/96	7421100	51 5/8 USD	48 USD	50 5/8 USD
9/30/96	6012800	53 USD	48 3/8 USD	50 1/2 USD
	•		/	43 1/8 USD
10/31/96	17664 700	52 3/8 USD	42 7/6 USD	43 1/8 USD 46 3/4 USD
11/29/96	15142300	47 1/8 USD	42 5/8 USD	46 3/4 USD
12/31/96	10938900	47 3/4 USD	42 1/8 USD	4V 7/4 02D
1/31/97	26700200	49 3/4 USD	41 1/4 USD	48 1/2 USD

☎202 707~5335

CRD FAND/GOV

Page 2 February 25, 2000

2/28/97	9181400	48	3/8	USD		3/8	USD		1/8	USD	
3/31/97	13114600	52		USD	45	5/8	USD	46	1/2	USD	
4/30/97	9842500	4 B		USD	44	1/8	USD	46	5/8	USD	
5/30/97	11044600	54	1/4	USD		1/2	USD	53		U5D	
6/30/97	9310300		1/8	USD		1/2	ซรD		1/2	USD	
0/30/5/	9310300	50	17 0	039	30	1/2	OBD	<u>.</u>	4, 4	ODD	
7/31/97	8468600	62	7/16	USD		5/16	USD		3/4	usd	
8/29/97	9805400	61	5/8	usd	56	5/8	USD	57	3/16	USD	
9/30/97	10238500	61	5/8	USD	56	1/8	USD	58	1/2	USD	
10/31/97	9760400	60	3/4	USD	50	1/4	USD	55		USD	
11/28/97	8379300	56	J/ 4	USD		5/8	USD		5/16	USD	
			5/16			1/16		54	7/10	USD	
12/31/97	11222400	22	21.10	USD	21	1/10	USD	34		OSD	
1/30/98	9853900	55		USD	49	1/4	USD	53		usd	
2/27/98	7331600	59	15/16	USD	53	5/16	USD	55	15/16	USD	
3/31/98	10889700	60	5/16	USD	55	3/16	USD	59	1/2	usd	
4/30/96	9907600	60	3/4	USD	51	15/16	USD	52	1/2	USD	
5/29/98	11181400		11/16	USD		5/8	USD	47	3/4	USD	
6/30/98	12475900		1/2	USD		13/16			1/2	USD	
0/20/36	124/3900	4,5	11/2	USD	44	T2/ TG	תפט	43	1/2	030	
7/31/98	7813500	46	15/16	USD	40		USD	40	7/16	USD	
8/31/98	10162900	42	9/16	USD	36	11/16	USD	37	1/2	USD	
9/30/98	11713400	43	1/4	USD	36	1/2	USD	42	1/16	USD	
10/30/98	15379400	46	13/16	USD	37	5/8	USD	39	1/4	บรท	
11/30/98	12877000		1/16	USD	39	1/16	USD		11/16		
12/31/98	11944400		1/2	USD	40		USD	41	1/2	USD	
11/31/30	242 44400			022			0.0,0	1.1	/ 12	022	
1/29/99	12281400	45	1/2	USD	36		USD	40	1/4	USD	
2/26/99	11102200	42	11/16	USD	38	9/16	USD	39	1/4	USD	
3/31/99	10319500	39	9/16	USD	36		USD	38	15/16	USD	
							_				
4/30/99	12311200	50	1/2	USD	36	13/16	USD		1/4	USD	
5/31/99	11941700	53	15/16		45	1/2	USD	46	15/16	USD	#
6/30/99	8122900	48	15/16	USD	43	13/16	USD	45	3/8	USD	
7/30/99	10473000	5.7	5/8	USD	4.6	15/16	TISD	48	7/16	USD	
9/31/99	9449400	49			43	5/8	USD	43	11/16		
			TTATO			,					
9/30/99	10106000	46		USD	40	13/16	עכט	42	3/8	USD	
10/29/99	11533300		1/4	USD	35	1/4	USD		7/8	USD	
11/30/99	8297900	41	9/16	USD	35	7/16	USD	35	9/16	USD	
12/31/99	15020000		5/8	USD	28	13/16	บรท	31	3/8	USD	
1/31/00	15076500		7/16	usd	28	9/16	USD	29		USD	
2/24/00*	18928200	29	5/16	USD	20	1/4	USD	21	11/16	USD	
						,					

^{*} Partial period data # Close from earlier in period

2202 707-5335

02/25/00 14:44 P.003

Fage 1 February 25, 2000

?bni BNI

12189TL0 BNI

BURLINGTON NORTHN SANTA FE New York Common

Monthly adjusted prices 1/03/94 to 2/24/00 Prices in currency as reported by exchange

	Prices :	ru currency as	reborder by exc	atodig
Month Ending	Month's Volume	Month's High	Month's Low	Month's Close
		21 53/64 USD	18 7/8 USD	21 35/64 USD
1/31/94	29253000	21 51/64 USD	20 29/64 USD	20 61/64 USD
2/28/94	17916000		19 3/64 USD	19 53/64 USD
3/31/94	24596400	22 13/64 USD	T3 3/ 04 001	43 00, 4.
4450/84	19890000	20 1/8 USD	17 3/8 USD	18 7/8 USD
4/29/94	15519000	19 1/6 USD	17 37/64 USD	18 37/64 USD
5/31/94	18186900	18 5/8 USD	17 45/64 USD	17 51/64 USD
6/30/94	19100300	10 3/6 002	17 13/01	
7/29/94	13996800	17 61/64 USD	17 11/64 USD	17 21/64 USD
8/31/94	32775600	17 51/64 USD	15 59/64 USD	17 1 /2 USD
9/30/94	33952200	17 3/4 USD	16 19/64 USD	16 3/4 USD
37 307 24	55552200			
10/31/94	22625700	17 21/64 USD	15 61/64 USD	16 5/8 USD
11/30/94	22742400	16 3/4 USD	15 1/2 USD	16 1/4 USD
12/30/94	22211400	17 11/64 USD	15 7/8 USD	16 3/64 USD
10, 40, 54				
1/31/95	36388200	18 3/64 USD	15 11/64 USD	15 53/64 USD
2/28/95	48101100	19 USD	16 USD	18 43/64 USD
3/31/95	30840900	20 1/8 USD	18 3/ 64 U SD	19 3/4 USD
				40 50/64 7757
4/28/95	26931000	20 29/64 USD	19 1/4 USD	19 53/64 USD
5/31/95	30757500	20 27/64 USD	18 5/8 US D	20 3/8 USD
6/30/95	31240800	21 21/64 USD	19 45/64 USD	21 1/6 USD
			4. 1147	23 5/64 USD
7/31/95	29919900	23 11/64 USD	20 3/4 USD	23 5/64 USD
8/31/95	15768000	23 3/8 USD	22 35/64 USD	24 11/64 USD
9/29/95	40291800	25 1/2 USD	23 21/64 USD	Z4 11/04 000
		00 1/4 7750	23 1/2 USD	27 61/64 USD
10/31/95	36007200	28 1/4 USD 27 53/64 USD	26 21/64 USD	26 59/64 USD
11/30/95	22529700	27 37/64 USD	24 5/8 USD	26 USD
12/29/95	56766600	21 31/64 030	24 1/0 002	
4 (51 / 0.5	20440000	27 3/8 USD	24 1/2 USD	27 19/64 USD
1/31/96	28669800 28449600	26 13/64 USD	26 43/64 USD	
2/29/96	34753800	29 3/64 USD	26 1/2 USD	
3/29/96	34122000	23 3/04 ODD	20 27 4	·
4/30/96	30259500	29 13/64 USD	25 61/64 USD	29 11/64 USD
5/31/96	25415100	29 37/64 USD	27 1/2 USD	28 1/4 USD
6/28/96	26376600	28 5/8 USD	26 USD	26 61/64 USD
6/48/90	20370000	20 5/0 44.		
7/31/96	29873200	28 59/64 USD	25 27/64 USD	26 19/64 USD
8/30/96	24948900	28 19/64 USD	26 1/8 USD	
9/30/96	25117800	28 27/64 USD	26 USD	28 1/8 USD
10/31/96	29923800	29 11/64 USD		27 27/64 USD 29 61/64 USD
11/29/96	19790700	30 USD		444
12/31/96	21806100	30 3/64 USD	28 3/64 USI) 50 DI/04 09D
			00 1/0 1751	29 11/64 USD
1/31/97	25053900	29 7/8 USD		
2/28/97	30252000	29 51/64 USD	27 3/4 USI	J 2/3/1 000

2202 707-5335

CRD FAND/GOV

Page 2 February 25, 2000

3/31/97	45975300	28 5/	18	USD	24	1/2	usd	24	43/64	USD	
4/30/97	47610300	26 5	18	USD	23	27/64	USD		1/4	ŲSD	
5/30/97	28652400		L/64		25	45/64	USD	27	43/64	USD	
6/30/97	36742800		64		27	5/64	USD	29	61/64	USD	
0/30/3/	50742000		-,			.,					
7/31/97	27188100	32 3	9/64	usp	29	59/64	USD		3/16	USD	
8/29/97	19801500		/16	USD	29	45/64	USD		9/16	USD	
9/30/97	20757300		/64	USD	30	5/64	ÚSD	32	13/64	USD	
3/20/2/	2010101										
10/31/97	26767800		1/64			3/64	USD		43/64		
11/28/97	23405400	32 2	1/64	USD		13/64		30		USD	
12/31/97	21364200	32 I	12	USD	30	1/4	USD	30	63/64	QSD	
						÷ 1 ~ A	บรบ	20	59/64	TIGD	
1/30/98	36375000	31 7		USD		5/64 1/4	บรม		13/64		
2/27/98	31513500		/Z	USD	29	3/61	USD		43/64		
3/31/90	28964700	35 4	1/64 _.	นธบ	33	2) 61	uaju	54	15,04		
4/20/00	37158600	35 3	7/64	USD	32	3/64	USD	33		USD	
4/30/98 5/29/98	25908900	_	5/64		32		USD	33	11/64	USD	
6/30/98	27800700	34 1		USD		1/4	UED	32	47/64	USD	
0/30/30	27000700	J1 A	, 0	•		_, _					
7/31/98	25871700	35 3	7/64	USD	33		USD		5/ 1 6	USD	
3/31/98	35423100	34 1	5/64	USD .	30	3/4	USD		1/64	USD	
9/30/98	28985600	33 3	78	USD	26	7/8	USD	32	1/4	USD	
									- 1-		
10/30/98	31100000	33 5	/16	USD		5/8	USD		1/8	USD	
11/30/98	21844000		./2	USD		7/16	USD	34		USD	
12/31/98	22241200	34 3	3/16	usd	·31	1/2	USD	34	1/4	USD	
•						0/16	USD	2.4	5/8	USD	
1/29/99	27612100	35	~ / -	USD		9/16 3/4	USD		1/8	USD	
2/26/99	23596500		13/16			5/8	USD		7/8	USD	
3/31/99	29579600	36	7/16	USD	31	o	GOD	JZ	.,,	022	
4 (20 /00	48596400	37	7/8	USD	30	1	USD	36	5/8	USD	
4/30/99 5/31/99	28324800			USD		9/16	USD	31		USD	#
6/30/99	29350000		3/16	USD		3/4	USD	31		USD	
0/30/33	2,550000		-, - -								
7/30/99	19335500	33	3/8	USD	30	13/16	USD	32	-	USD	
8/31/99	16538900	32	1/4	USD	28		usd	29		USD	
9/30/99	24674400	30	3/8	USD	25	5/8	usd	27	1/2	USD	
								~ -	7/0	USD	
10/29/99	31329200	32		usd	23		USD		7/8		
11/30/99	18030700		5/16	USD	27		USD	25		USD	
12/31/99	38661400	29	15/ 1 6	s usd	27	2 7/8	usd	24	1 1/4	กอก	
				TTOP	~	7/8	USD	2	1 1/16	USD	
1/31/00	51519300	27		USD	20	J // 6 9 63/6			3/16	USD	
2/24/00*	25895800	29	3/16	USD	T;	7 03/0	a Gob	۷.	, <u> </u>		

^{*} Partial period data # Close from earlier in period

2202 707-5335

Page 1 February 25, 2000

?unp unp

90781810 UNP

UNION PAC CORP

New York Common

Monthly adjusted prices 1/03/94 to 2/24/00 Prices in currency as reported by exchange

Month Ending	Month's Volume	Month's High		Month's Low		Month's Close	
1/31/94	8642300	67 1/8	USD	61 3/8	USD		USD
2/28/94	7966500	65 3/ 8	USD	59	TSD	59 3/4	USD
3/31/94	10035500	60 1/2	USD	55 1/2	USD	56 3/4	USD
4/29/94	7351600	59 3/8	USD	55 3/4	USD		USD
5/31/94	551330 0	59 3/4	USD	55 7/8	USD		usd
6/30/94	6868200	59 5/8	USD	55 3/8	usd	56 5/8	USD
7/29/94	6245400	59 5/8	USD	55 1/2	USD	59	USD
8/31/94	6318800	60 1/ 8	USD	55 3/ 4	USD		USD
9/30/94	6834900	58	USD	52 3/4	USD	53 5/8	USD
10/31/94	14956000	53 3/4	USD	48	USD	48 7/8	USD
11/30/94	15768000	50 1/2	USD	44 7/8	USD	46 1/2	USD
12/30/94	11719000	47 3/4	USD	43 3/4	USD	45 3/8	USD
1/31/95	12710000	51 1/4	USD	45 5/8	USD	50 1/4	USD
2/28/95	9770500	53 1/8	USD	49 5/8	USD	52 1/4	USD
3/31/95	8509700	56 1/8	USD	50 1/6	USD	54 7/8	USD
4/28/95	6606300	56	USD	53 1/2	USD	54 1/8	USD
5/31/95	7255800	56 3/4	USD	52 1/8	usd	55 3/8	USD
6/30/95	10190900	56 1/2	USD	51 3/4	USD.	55 3/8	USD
7/31/95	14059600	66 5/8	USD		USD	65 1/8	USD
8/31/95	14615700	69	USD	62 3/8	USD	65 1/2	USD
9/29/95	9593800	69 1/2	USD	65 3/8	USD	66 1/4	USD
10/31/95	14903200	67 1/4	USD	61 1/2	USD	65 3/8	USD
11/30/95	9995700	69	USD	63 1/4	USD	67 3/4	USD
12/29/95	13149800	70 1 /B	USD	64 1/2	USD	бб	USD
1/31/96	14662400	68 7/8	USD	64 1/8	USD	66 5/8	USD
2/29/96	11796400	69 3/4	USD	65 5/8	USD	66	USD
3/29/96	14420200	73 1/8	USD	65 3/8	USD	68 5/8	USD
4/30/96	13425400	70 3/4	USD	65 1/2	USD	68 1/8	USD
5/31/96	9130600	72 1/8	USD	66 3/4	USD	70 1/8	USD
6/28/96	8341200	72 1/4	USD	68 3/8	USD	69 7/8	USD
7/31/96	13110900	74	USD	66 5/8	USD	68 1/2	UED
9/30/96	8309600	73 3/8	USD	68	USD	72 7/8	USD
9/30/96	14388400	74 3/8	USD	70 1/8	USD	73 1/4	USD
10/31/96	14797000	74 1/2	USD	50	U5D	56 1/8	USD
11/29/96	8446100	59 3/8	CEU	55 3/8	USD	58 1/4	USD
12/31/96	10543400	62 1/4	USD	55 3/4	U5D	60 1/8	USD
1/31/97	11927600	64	បទប	58 1/2	USD		USD
2/28/97	10064100	61 3/4	USD	59 3/8	USD	60 I/4	USD

02/25/00 14:45 P.006

2202 707-5335

Page 2 February 25, 2000

	3/31/97	9164900	62	5/8	USD	56	3/4	ŲSD	56	3/4	USD	
	4/30/97	10647400	64	3/8	USD	56	1/4	USD	63	3/4	USD	
	5/30/97	9544000	69	1/4	USD	62	3/8	USD		3/4	USD	
	6/30/97	9556800	72		USD	67	U , D	USD		13/16		
	0,00,5.	202000			002	0,		UDD	0.5	13/ 10	000	
	7/31/97	8619600		31/32			1/4	USD		11/16		
	8/29/97	9540000		5/8	USD		5/8	USD		15/16	USD	
	9/30/97	24434100	66	1/2	USD	60	15/16	USD	62	5/8	USD	
	10/31/97	25472800	б5	7/16	USD	60	1/2	USD	61	1/4	USD	
	11/28/97	15187700		3/16	USD		11/16			7/8	USD	
	12/31/97	11848000		7/8	USD		3/8	USD		5/8	USD	
	12, 51, 5,	170-1000	04	,,,	CGD	40	37 G	CSD	UZ	3/6	035	
	1/30/98	12106100		3/8	usd		3/8	USD	60		USD	
	2/27/98	23602000	63	3/4	CEU	49		usd	51	1/8	USD	
	3/31/98	4072650 0	56	5/16	USD	49		USD	56	5/ 1 6	USD	
	4/30/98	19763600	58	1/4	USD	53	5/16	USD	54	3/4	USD	
	5/29/98	16311500	58	1/8	USD		3/4	USD		3/6	USD	
	6/30/98	28209400	47	15/16			3/8	USD	44	5/ G	USD	
	0,00,00	20203400	٦,	13/10	OBD	42	3/0	עפט	44		USD	
	7/31/98	24825700	45	1/4	USD	39	7/16	USD	42		USD	
	8/31/98	22486600	43	15/16	USD	37	5/16	USD	39	13/16	USD	
	9/30/98	22747400	47	3/4	USD	37	3/4	USD		5/8	USD	
					-		• –			- •		
	10/30/98	16892900	48	1/4	USD	41	9/16	USD	47	5/8	usd	
-	11/30/98	16987300	49	1/2	USD	46	3/8	USD	48	5/8	USD	
:	12/31/98	14872900	49	7/16	USD	42	15/16	USD	45	1/16	USD	
	1/29/99	16099200	52	3/16	USD	44	5/8	USD	51	7/16	USD	
	2/26/99	14634600		5/8	USD		1/4	USD		7/8	USD	
	3/31/99	21209700	55	5/0	USD		9/16	USD		7/16	USD	
	J, J±, JJ	21203.00			050	- A	3/ 10	031		,, 10	GOD	
	4/30/99	21656300	60	13/16	USD	50	7/8	USD	59	7/B	USD	
	5/31/99	26054000	67	7/8	USD	56	1/4	USD	57	1/16	U5D	#
	6/30/99	16084300	62	1/4	usd	56		USD	58	5/16	USD	
	7/30/99	15352600	60	11/16	TION	E 20	15/16	TTOD	E. 4	5/16	USD	
	8/31/99	14063500	55	7/8	USD	_	9/16	USD		11/16		
	9/30/99	17063400	54	1/16	USD	16	15/16	USD	16	1/16	USD	
	10/29/99	17895800	56	1/2	USD	46	5/16	USD	55	3/4	USD	
	11/30/99	12409500	55	7/8	USD		1/16	USD	47	1/16	USD	
	12/31/99	18899300	47	3/16	USD	39	_,	USD		11/16		
	with the same of the same	~~~~~~	.,	- , <u>-</u> ,					10		J	
	1/31/00	20327500	47	5/8	USD	39	3/4	USD	40	1/4	IJSD	
	2/24/00*	19039400	40	7/8	USD		7/8	USD		1/4	USD	
							• -					

^{*} Partial period data # Close from earlier in period

☎202 707-5335

CRD FAND/GOV

02/25/00 14:46 P.008

Page 2 February 25, 2000

3/31/97	13062900	32	3/4	USD	28	27/64	USD	28	27/64	USD	
4/30/97	11148900	30	3/4	USD		13/64			61/64		
5/30/97	10720800	32	29/64	USD	29	27/64	บรมิ		3/8	USD	
6/30/97	12347100		7/64	USD	32	11/64	usd	33	37/64	USD	
7/31/97	15893100	36	1/8	USD	33	1/2	USD		59/64		
3/29/97	14896800	37	15/64	aeu	.32	33/64	USD	32	43/64	USD	
9/30/97	14557500	35	1/64	TED	31	37/64	USD	34	27/64	USD	
10/31/97	16209100	34	47/64			3/4	USD		1/8	USD	
11/28/97	10629500	33		usd		3/8	USD		13/16		
12/31/97	14846300	32	1/8	σsd	29	7/16	USD	30	1/2	USD	
1/30/98	14694400		1/2	usp	29	1/2	UBD		9/16	USD	
2/27/98	10466300		1/2	USD		13/16			7/16	USD	
3/31/98	16109200	41	3/4	USD	33	7/8	USD	37	3/8	USD	
4/30/99	14296600	39	1/16	USD	32	1/2	USD	33	7/16	USD	
5/29/98	12891500	35	1/8	USD	31		USD	31	7/16	USD	
6/30/98	19028700	32		USD		5/8	USD	29	13/16	usd	
0/20/30									7.10	HED	
7/31/98	15741200		1/4	USD	28	13/16		29		USD	
8/31/98	12117200	31	7/16	USD	27		USD	28		USD	
9/ 30/ 98	11616200	31	1/2	USD	27	3/4	USD	29		បុខភ	
10/30/98	15074600	34	15/16	USD	27	7/16	usd		15/16		
11/30/98	10832300	34	1/16	USD	30	1/4	USD		3/8	usd	
12/31/98	13283600	32		USD	29	3/16	usd	31	11/16	USD	
•					0.7		GEU	27	9/16	USD	
1/29/99	17040300		3/16	USD	27		USD		5/8	USD	
2/26/99	13099700	29	3/16	USD		3/8	บรม บริบ	_	3/8	USD	
3/31/99	13866500	30	1/2	USD		1/4	USD	2.0	2,0		
4/30/99	16208600	33	3/8	USD	25	1/2	usd	33		USD	,,
5/31/99	18863000	36	7/16	USD	31		USD		7/B	USD :	h
6/30/99	12531400	35	1/16	USD	29	9/16	USD	30	1/8	USD	
7/30/99	13833200	31	5/16	USD		5/8	USD		1/4	USD	
8/31/99	10857500	29	_ •	_		3/16	USD		3/16	USD	
9/30/99	13107100	27	7/8	USD	24	1/8	USD	24	1/2	USD	
10/29/99	22010000	25	3/8	USD		1/4	USD		7/16	USD	
11/30/99	15669600	24	7/8	aev		L 3/8	USD	21		USD	
12/31/99	23606300	23		USD	19	5/8	USD	20	1/2	USD	
	ana=4444	7-	3/4	USD	14	5 9/16	USD	17	<i>i</i> ·	USD	
1/31/00	22232400 23012800	22	1/4	USD		3 11/16			3/4	USD	
2/24/00*	₹20TZ000	Τ,	T/ 4	550							

^{*} Partial period data # Close from earlier in period